



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

D. Miller et al.

Attorney Docket No.: DIGE126130 (Digeo 83) (new)

52126.00006 (old)

Application No.: 09/818,085

Art Unit: 2611 / Confirmation No.: 2845

Filed:

March 26, 2001

Examiner: C.M. Lambrecht

Title:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SET TOP BOX CHANNEL

STATE FEEDBACK

TRANSMITTAL LETTER -RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

Seattle, Washington 98101

October 25, 2005

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

Transmitted herewith is a response to a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated October 5, 2005, in the above-identified application.

No additional fees are required, as all fees were previously paid with the submission of the Amendment/Response to Office Action submitted on July 11, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC

Kevan L. Morgan

Registration No. 42,015

Direct Dial No. 206.695.1712

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the below date.

Date:

10.25.2005

KLM:sdd

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPILIC 1420 Fifth Avenue **Suite 2800** Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

D. Miller et al.

Attorney Docket No.: DIGE126130 (Digeo 83) (new)

52126.00006 (old)

Application No.: 09/818,085

Art Unit: 2611 / Confirmation No. 2845

Filed:

March 26, 2001

Examiner: C.M. Lambrecht

Title:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SET TOP BOX CHANNEL

STATE FEEDBACK

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

Seattle, Washington 98101

October 25, 2005

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Applicants submit the present amendment in response to a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed October 5, 2005. A listing of the claims as previously submitted is included. Please amend the above-identified patent application as follows in light of the arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims patentable over any applied references, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.111(b).

Please also note that the Attorney Docket No. has been changed to DIGE126130.